

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA

CENTRAL DIVISION

FILED

SEP 13 2011

[Signature]
CLERK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

-VS-

TARIK I. MESSAD,

Defendant.

*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*

CR. 11-30075-RAL

FINAL INSTRUCTIONS
TO JURY

INSTRUCTION NO. 1

Members of the jury, the instructions I gave you at the beginning of the trial and during the trial remain in effect. I now give you some additional instructions.

You must, of course, continue to follow the instructions I gave you earlier, as well as those I give you now. You must not single out some instructions and ignore others, because all are important.

The instructions I am about to give you now are in writing and will be available to you in the jury room. I emphasize, however, that this does not mean they are more important than my earlier instructions. Again, *all* instructions, whenever given and whether in writing or not, must be followed.

INSTRUCTION NO. 2

It is your duty to find from the evidence what the facts are. You will then apply the law, as I give it to you, to those facts. You must follow my instructions on the law, even if you thought the law was different or should be different.

Do not allow sympathy or prejudice to influence you. The law demands of you a just verdict, unaffected by anything except the evidence, your common sense, and the law as I give it to you.

INSTRUCTION NO. 3

I have mentioned the word "evidence." The "evidence" in this case consists of the testimony of witnesses and the documents and other things received as exhibits.

You may use reason and common sense to draw deductions or conclusions from facts which have been established by the evidence in the case.

Certain things are not evidence. I shall list those things again for you now:

1. Statements, arguments, questions and comments by lawyers representing the parties in the case are not evidence.
2. Objections are not evidence. Lawyers have a right to object when they believe something is improper. You should not be influenced by the objection. If I sustained an objection to a question, you must ignore the question and must not try to guess what the answer might have been.
3. Testimony that I struck from the record, or told you to disregard, is not evidence and must not be considered.
4. Anything you saw or heard about this case outside the courtroom is not evidence.

INSTRUCTION NO. 4

In deciding what the facts are, you may have to decide what testimony you believe and what testimony you do not believe. You may believe all of what a witness said, or only part of it, or none of it.

In deciding what testimony to believe, consider the witness's intelligence, the opportunity the witness had to have seen or heard the things testified about, the witness's memory, any motives that witness may have for testifying a certain way, the manner of the witness while testifying, whether that witness said something different at an earlier time, the general reasonableness of the testimony, and the extent to which the testimony is consistent with any evidence that you believe.

In deciding whether or not to believe a witness, keep in mind that people sometimes hear or see things differently and sometimes forget things. You need to consider therefore whether a contradiction is an innocent misrecollection or lapse of memory or an intentional falsehood, and that may depend on whether it has to do with an important fact or only a small detail.

INSTRUCTION NO. 5

The indictment in this case charges that the defendant committed the crime of Sexual Abuse of a Minor. The defendant has pleaded not guilty to that charge.

As I told you at the beginning of the trial, an indictment is simply an accusation. It is not evidence of anything. To the contrary, the defendant is presumed to be innocent. Thus the defendant, even though charged, begins the trial with no evidence against him. The presumption of innocence alone is sufficient to find the defendant not guilty and can be overcome only if the Government proves, beyond a reasonable doubt, each element of the crime charged.

There is no burden upon a defendant to prove that he is innocent. Accordingly, the fact that the Defendant did not testify must not be considered by you in any way, or even discussed, in arriving at your verdict.

INSTRUCTION NO. 6

The crime of Sexual Abuse, as charged in the indictment, has five elements, which are:

One, that on or about between the 1st day of August, 2008, and the 6th day of November, 2009, the Defendant did knowingly cause and attempt to cause, Stephanie Bone Shirt, to engage in a sexual act, that is contact between his penis and her vulva. Contact involving the penis occurs upon penetration, however slight;

Two, that at the time of the alleged offense, Stephanie Bone Shirt had attained the age of 12 years, but had not attained the age of 16 years;

Three, that at the time of the alleged offense, Stephanie Bone Shirt was at least 4 years younger than Tarik I. Messaad;

Four, that Tarik I. Messaad is an Indian; and

Five, that the alleged offense took place in Indian country.

If all of these elements have been proved beyond a reasonable doubt as to the Defendant, then you must find the Defendant guilty of the crime charged in the indictment; otherwise you must find the Defendant not guilty of this crime.

INSTRUCTION NO. 7

A reasonable doubt is a doubt based upon reason and common sense, and not the mere possibility of innocence. A reasonable doubt is the kind of doubt that would make a reasonable person hesitate to act. Proof beyond a reasonable doubt, therefore, must be proof of such a convincing character that a reasonable person would not hesitate to rely and act upon it. However, proof beyond a reasonable doubt does not mean proof beyond all possible doubt.

INSTRUCTION NO. 8

In a prosecution for sexual abuse of a minor, it is a defense that the Defendant reasonably believed that the other person had attained the age of 16 years. With regard to this defense, the burden is on the Defendant to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that he reasonably believed Stephanie Bone Shirt had attained the age of 16 years at the time they engaged in the alleged sexual act.

To prove something by a preponderance of the evidence is to prove that it is more likely true than not true. It is determined by considering all of the evidence and deciding what evidence is more believable. If, on this issue, the evidence is equally balanced, you cannot find that the issue has been proved by a preponderance of the evidence.

If you find that the Defendant has proved by a preponderance of the evidence that he reasonably believed Stephanie Bone Shirt had attained the age of 16 years at the time they engaged in the sexual act, you must find the Defendant not guilty of sexual abuse of a minor as charged in the indictment.

INSTRUCTION NO. 9

You have heard testimony that the Defendant made statements to a law enforcement officer. It is for you to decide:

First, whether the Defendant made the statements; and

Second, if so, how much weight you should give to them.

In making these two decisions you should consider all of the evidence, including the circumstances under which the statements may have been made.

INSTRUCTION NO. 10

The indictment in this case alleges that the Defendant is an Indian and that the alleged offenses occurred in Indian country. The existence of those two factors is necessary in order for this Court to have jurisdiction over the crimes charged in the indictment. Both of the elements must be proved beyond a reasonable doubt as to the Defendant in order for you to find him guilty of the crime charged in the indictment; otherwise you must find him not guilty of this crime.

In order for you to determine whether the Defendant is an Indian, you should consider the following factors, in declining order of importance:

- 1) enrollment in a tribe;
- 2) government recognition formally and informally through providing the person assistance reserved only to Indians;
- 3) enjoying benefits of tribal affiliation; and
- 4) social recognition as an Indian through living on a reservation and participating in Indian social life.

INSTRUCTION NO. 11

The indictment charges that the offenses were committed “on or about between” certain dates. The proof need not establish with certainty the exact date or dates of the alleged offenses. It is sufficient if the evidence in the case establishes beyond a reasonable doubt that the offenses were committed on a date reasonably near the dates alleged.

INSTRUCTION NO. 12

In conducting your deliberations and returning your verdict, there are certain rules you must follow. I shall list those rules for you now.

First, when you go to the jury room, you must select one of your members as your foreperson. That person will preside over your discussions and speak for you here in court.

Second, it is your duty, as jurors, to discuss this case with one another in the jury room. You should try to reach agreement if you can do so without violence to individual judgment, because a verdict - whether guilty or not guilty - must be unanimous.

Each of you must make your own conscientious decision, but only after you have considered all the evidence, discussed it fully with your fellow jurors, and listened to the views of your fellow jurors.

Do not be afraid to change your opinions if the discussion persuades you that you should. But do not come to a decision simply because other jurors think it is right, or simply to reach a verdict.

Third, if the defendant is found guilty, the sentence to be imposed is my responsibility. You may not consider punishment in any way in deciding whether the Government has proved its case beyond a reasonable doubt.

Fourth, if you need to communicate with me during your deliberations, you may send a note to me through the marshal or bailiff, signed by one or more jurors. I will respond as soon as possible either in writing or orally in open court. Remember that you should not tell anyone - including me - how your votes stand numerically.

Fifth, during your deliberations, you must not communicate with or provide any information to anyone other than by note to me by any means about this case. You may not use any electronic device or media, such as a telephone, cell phone, smart phone, iPhone, Blackberry or computer; the internet, any internet service, or any text or instant messaging service; or any internet chat room, blog, or website such as Facebook, My Space, LinkedIn, YouTube or Twitter, to communicate to anyone information about this case or to conduct any research about this case until I accept your verdict.

Sixth, your verdict must be based solely on the evidence and on the law which I have given to you in my instructions. The verdict whether guilty or not guilty must be unanimous. Nothing I have said or done is intended to suggest what your verdict should be - that is entirely for you to decide.

Finally, the verdict form is simply the written notice of the decision that you reach in this case. You will take this form to the jury room, and when each of you has agreed on the verdict, your foreperson will fill in the form, sign and date it, and advise the marshal or bailiff that you are ready to return to the courtroom.